

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/443,115	11/18/1999	MASAHIKO MURATA	862.3138	6777
5514 7	7590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			WALLERSON, MARK E	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	-
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(hp)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/443,115	MURATA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark E. Wallerson	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the original transfer of the original transfer of the original transfer or the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/443,115 Page 1

Art Unit: 2626

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 2/23/04.

2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-13 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 10, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tai et al (Tai) (U. S. 6,466,331).

With respect to claims 1, 4, 5, 10, and 13, Tai discloses an image processing apparatus (figure 2) comprising a plurality of rendering sections (140 and 150) arranged to respectively render color component data (column 6, lines 1-6) on the basis of data common to the respective

Art Unit: 2626

color components (the scanned document), wherein each rendering section receives the common data and renders the common data into one of the color components (figure 2), and a converter (160) to convert the rendered color images into images for printing (column 9, line 62 to column 10, line 25).

With respect to claim 6, Tai discloses a delay section to compensate for timing differences in forming the color component images in the print engine (column 2, lines 14-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tai in view of Kawamoto (U. S. 5,978,563).

With respect to claims 2, 3, 11, and 12, Tai differs from claims 2, 3, 11 and 12 in that he does not clearly disclose the rendering devices comprise a memory having a capacity large enough to render at least a two band color component image obtained by dividing a page into bands. Kawamoto discloses plural rendering devices comprising memory large enough to render at least a two-band color component image obtained by dividing a page image into bands (column 6, lines 51-67 and column 8, lines 40-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Tai wherein the page is divided into bands. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Application/Control Number: 09/443,115

Art Unit: 2626

the invention to have modified Tai by the teaching of Kawamoto in order to improve the processing speed.

Page 3

With respect to claims 7 and 8, Tai differs from claims 7 and 8 in that he does not clearly disclose the common data is made up of a display list and print element data. Kawamoto discloses the color component is made up of a display list and print element data (image data) (column 10, line 20 to column 11, line 55), wherein the display list is a list of print elements obtained by dividing a print image and arranged in an order of occurrence (column 10, line 64 to column 11, line 28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Tai wherein the common data is made up of a display list and print element data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Tai by the teaching of Kawamoto in order to more clearly define the input image stream.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that Tai does not disclose plural rendering sections arranged to generate a respective one of plural color components wherein each rendering section receives data common to the respective color components and renders the common data. The Examiner disagrees.

Tai discloses plural rendering sections (140 and 150) arranged to generate a respective one of plural color components(column 6, lines 1-15) wherein each rendering section receives

Application/Control Number: 09/443,115 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

data common to the respective color components and renders the common data (column 6, lines 1-15 and lines 45-65 and column 7, lines 37-56).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626